UofL Community Partner Feedback - Spring 2017

UofL Community Partner Feedback - Spring 2017

Project Audience 617
Responses Received 86
Response Ratio 13.94%

Prepared by   Patrick Smith
Creation Date   Tue, May 23, 2017

## How long have you been collaborating with the University of Louisville?

1) How long have you been collaborating with the University of Louisville? 2.72

0.00 0.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 2.50 3.00

How long have you been collaborating with the University of Louisville?

There is no data to show.

What are the benchmark areas addressed by your organization?

Education	83	30.07%
Health	44	15.94%
Housing	17	6.16%
Environment	13	4.71%
Safety	12	4.35%
Public Service	26	9.42%
Social Services	53	19.20%
Economic Development	4	1.45%
Other	24	8.70%
Respondent(s)	122	

0%   50%   100%

What are the benchmark areas addressed by your organization?

There is no data to show.

What is your organizational status?

1) What is your organizational status? 3.46

0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 7.00

What is your organizational status?

1 Educational Institution	35	28.46%
2 For-Profit Business/Corporation	6	4.88%
3 Government Agency	17	13.82%
4 Health Care	3	2.44%
5 Non-profit Organization	59	47.97%
6 Professional Association	1	0.81%
7 Other	2	1.63%
Total	123	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	123
Mean	3.46
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.81
Population Standard Deviation	1.80
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.16
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.16

What is your organizational status?

There is no data to show.

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR PARTNERSHIP "Our partnership with UofL led to..."

1) Increased funding opportunities	2.58
2) Increased resources	3.70
3) Positive behavior change (Staff, employees, organization, community, people served)	3.76
4) Completion of project	3.29
5) Positive project outcome	3.58
6) Increased value of services	3.45
7) Access to technology	2.60
8) Access to expertise	3.70
9) Production of new services, products, or materials	2.99
10) Identification of new staff	2.81
11) Identification of additional volunteers	3.10

## INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR PARTNERSHIP "Our partnership with UofL led to..."

| Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	3.23
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.68
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.05
Population Standard Deviation	1.68
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.05

1. Increased funding opportunities

1 Strongly Disagree	4	3.92%
2 Disagree	7	6.86%
3 Neutral	29	28.43%
4 Agree	27	26.47%
5 Strongly Agree	10	9.80%
0 Not Applicable	25	24.51%
Total	102	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	102
Mean	2.58
Median	3.00
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	1.71
Population Standard Deviation	1.71
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.17
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.17

2. Increased resources

1 Strongly Disagree	4	3.88%
2 Disagree	0	0.00%
3 Neutral	9	8.74%
4 Agree	55	53.40%
5 Strongly Agree	26	25.24%
0 Not Applicable	9	8.74%
Total	103	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	103
Mean	3.70
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.42
Population Standard Deviation	1.41
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.14
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.14

## 3. Positive behavior change (Staff, employees, organization, community, people served)

1 Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%
2 Disagree	2	1.96%
3 Neutral	14	13.73%
4 Agree	52	50.98%
5 Strongly Agree	26	25.49%
0 Not Applicable	8	7.84%
Total	102	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	102
Mean	3.76
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.30
Population Standard Deviation	1.29
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.13
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.13

4. Completion of project

1 Strongly Disagree	2	1.92%
2 Disagree	3	2.88%
3 Neutral	13	12.50%
4 Agree	35	33.65%
5 Strongly Agree	31	29.81%
0 Not Applicable	20	19.23%
Total	104	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	104
Mean	3.29
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.82
Population Standard Deviation	1.81
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.18
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.18

5. Positive project outcome

1 Strongly Disagree	4	3.85%
2 Disagree	1	0.96%
3 Neutral	12	11.54%
4 Agree	40	38.46%
5 Strongly Agree	34	32.69%
0 Not Applicable	13	12.50%
Total	104	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	104
Mean	3.58
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.64
Population Standard Deviation	1.63
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.16
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.16

6. Increased value of services

1 Strongly Disagree	3	2.91%
2 Disagree	0	0.00%
3 Neutral	11	10.68%
4 Agree	46	44.66%
5 Strongly Agree	27	26.21%
0 Not Applicable	16	15.53%
Total	103	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	103
Mean	3.45
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.68
Population Standard Deviation	1.68
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.17
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.17

7. Access to technology

1 Strongly Disagree	4	4.00%
2 Disagree	8	8.00%
3 Neutral	27	27.00%
4 Agree	26	26.00%
5 Strongly Agree	11	11.00%
0 Not Applicable	24	24.00%
Total	100	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	100
Mean	2.60
Median	3.00
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	1.72
Population Standard Deviation	1.71
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.17
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.17

8. Access to expertise

1 Strongly Disagree	3	2.97%
2 Disagree	2	1.98%
3 Neutral	9	8.91%
4 Agree	40	39.60%
5 Strongly Agree	36	35.64%
0 Not Applicable	11	10.89%
Total	101	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	101
Mean	3.70
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.57
Population Standard Deviation	1.56
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.16
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.16

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR PARTNERSHIP "Our partnership with UofL led to..." (continued)

## 9. Production of new services, products, or materials

1 Strongly Disagree	3	2.94%
2 Disagree	7	6.86%
3 Neutral	25	24.51%
4 Agree	32	31.37%
5 Strongly Agree	17	16.67%
0 Not Applicable	18	17.65%
Total	102	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	102
Mean	2.99
Median	3.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.67
Population Standard Deviation	1.66
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.17
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.16

10. Identification of new staff

1 Strongly Disagree	2	1.98%
2 Disagree	8	7.92%
3 Neutral	23	22.77%
4 Agree	28	27.72%
5 Strongly Agree	17	16.83%
0 Not Applicable	23	22.77%
Total	101	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	101
Mean	2.81
Median	3.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.78
Population Standard Deviation	1.77
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.18
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.18

11. Identification of additional volunteers

1 Strongly Disagree	2	1.94%
2 Disagree	9	8.74%
3 Neutral	19	18.45%
4 Agree	43	41.75%
5 Strongly Agree	14	13.59%
0 Not Applicable	16	15.53%
Total	103	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	103
Mean	3.10
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.59
Population Standard Deviation	1.59
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.16
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.16

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR PARTNERSHIP "Our partnership with UofL led to..."

There is no data to show.

Do you plan to continue partnering with the university?

1) Do you plan to continue partnering with the university? 1.13

| | 0.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 |

Do you plan to continue partnering with the university?

1 Yes	95	92.23%
2 No	3	2.91%
3 Not Sure (Please explain why)	5	4.85%
Total	103	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	103
Mean	1.13
Median	1.00
Mode	1
Standard Deviation	0.46
Population Standard Deviation	0.46
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.05
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.04

Do you plan to continue partnering with the university?

There is no data to show.

In what ways do you believe that you are able to influence the university as a result of this partnership(s)?

Influence on course content	33	16.75%
Influence on university policies	13	6.60%
Influence on faculty awareness of community	56	28.43%
Influence on student learning experience	81	41.12%
Our organization had no influence	9	4.57%
Other (specify)	5	2.54%
Respondent(s)	103	

In what ways do you believe that you are able to influence the university as a result of this partnership(s)?

There is no data to show.

What was the best aspect of this partnership for your organization?

Comment
Not Answered

What could the university do differently to enhance collaboration with your organization?

Comment
Not Answered

How did your collaboration with the university influence your capacity to fulfill the mission of your organization?

New insights about the organization/its operation	41	16.27%
Increase the number of clients served	34	13.49%
Enhanced offerings of services	51	20.24%
Increased leverage of financial/other resources	22	8.73%
New connections/networks with other community groups	58	23.02%
Changes in organizational direction	4	1.59%
Increases in number of services offered	31	12.30%
Our interaction with UofL had no influence	6	2.38%
Other influences (Specify)	5	1.98%
Respondent(s)	103	

How did your collaboration with the university influence your capacity to fulfill the mission of your organization?

There is no data to show.

## INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

1) The partnership resulted in mutual benefit between our organization and UofL 4.34

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.34
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.92
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.10
Population Standard Deviation	0.91
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.10

1 Strongly Disagree	1	1.27%
2 Disagree	1	1.27%
3 Neutral	5	6.33%
4 Agree	30	37.97%
5 Strongly Agree	41	51.90%
0 Not Applicable	1	1.27%
Total	79	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	79
Mean	4.34
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.92
Population Standard Deviation	0.91
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.10
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.10

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

There is no data to show.

Please rate your level of satisfaction with your connection to the University of Louisville in the following areas.


1) Overall communication with UofL partnership contact.
</br> 4.23

3) Level of interactions with faculty or UofL staff.
</br> 4.17

5) Quality of faculty or UofL staff work or performance.
</br> 4.06

7) Level of trust with faculty.
</br> 4.02

9) Scope of the project.
</br> 3.72

10) Project time period. 3.58

Please rate your level of satisfaction with your connection to the University of Louisville in the following areas.

| Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	3.87
Median	4.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.46
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.05
Population Standard Deviation	1.46
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.05

1. Overall communication with UofL partnership contact.

1 Very Dissatisfied	1	1.01%
2 Dissatisfied	1	1.01%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	6	6.06%
4 Satisfied	42	42.42%
5 Very Satisfied	46	46.46%
0 Not Applicable	3	3.03%
Total	99	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	99
Mean	4.23
Median	4.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.05
Population Standard Deviation	1.04
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.11
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.10

2. Level of interactions with students.

1 Very Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
2 Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	10	10.20%
4 Satisfied	40	40.82%
5 Very Satisfied	38	38.78%
0 Not Applicable	10	10.20%
Total	98	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	98
Mean	3.88
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.46
Population Standard Deviation	1.45
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.15
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.15

3. Level of interactions with faculty or UofL staff.

1 Very Dissatisfied	1	1.00%
2 Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	9	9.00%
4 Satisfied	41	41.00%
5 Very Satisfied	45	45.00%
0 Not Applicable	4	4.00%
Total	100	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	100
Mean	4.17
Median	4.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.12
Population Standard Deviation	1.11
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.11
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.11

## 4. Quality of student work or performance.

1 Very Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
2 Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	9	9.28%
4 Satisfied	33	34.02%
5 Very Satisfied	41	42.27%
0 Not Applicable	14	14.43%
Total	97	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	97
Mean	3.75
Median	4.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.67
Population Standard Deviation	1.66
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.17
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.17

5. Quality of faculty or UofL staff work or performance.

1 Very Dissatisfied	1	1.00%
2 Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	7	7.00%
4 Satisfied	36	36.00%
5 Very Satisfied	48	48.00%
0 Not Applicable	8	8.00%
Total		100

Statistics	Value
Response Count	100
Mean	4.06
Median	4.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.39
Population Standard Deviation	1.38
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.14
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.14

6. Ability to provide feedback and input into planning experiences.

1 Very Dissatisfied	1	1.01%
2 Dissatisfied	4	4.04%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	13	13.13%
4 Satisfied	42	42.42%
5 Very Satisfied	32	32.32%
0 Not Applicable	7	7.07%
Total		99

Statistics	Value
Response Count	99
Mean	3.80
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.35
Population Standard Deviation	1.34
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.14
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.13

7. Level of trust with faculty.

1 Very Dissatisfied	1	1.02%
2 Dissatisfied	2	2.04%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	8	8.16%
4 Satisfied	35	35.71%
5 Very Satisfied	45	45.92%
0 Not Applicable	7	7.14%
Total		98

Statistics	Value
Response Count	98
Mean	4.02
Median	4.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.37
Population Standard Deviation	1.36
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.14
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.14

8. Level of trust with students.

1 Very Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
2 Dissatisfied	1	1.02%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	12	12.24%
4 Satisfied	34	34.69%
5 Very Satisfied	34	34.69%
0 Not Applicable	17	17.35%
Total		98

Statistics	Value
Response Count	98
Mean	3.51
Median	4.00
Mode	4, 5
Standard Deviation	1.75
Population Standard Deviation	1.75
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.18
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.18

Please rate your level of satisfaction with your connection to the University of Louisville in the following areas. (continued)

9. Scope of the project.

1 Very Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
2 Dissatisfied	2	2.08%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	15	15.63%
4 Satisfied	32	33.33%
5 Very Satisfied	36	37.50%
0 Not Applicable	11	11.46%
Total	96	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	96
Mean	3.72
Median	4.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.55
Population Standard Deviation	1.54
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.16
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.16

10. Project time period.

1 Very Dissatisfied	2	2.11%
2 Dissatisfied	0	0.00%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfied	15	15.79%
4 Satisfied	32	33.68%
5 Very Satisfied	33	34.74%
0 Not Applicable	13	13.68%
Total	95	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	95
Mean	3.58
Median	4.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.65
Population Standard Deviation	1.65
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.17
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.17

Please rate your level of satisfaction with your connection to the University of Louisville in the following areas.

There is no data to show.

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

1) "The reputation of the University of Louisville promotes creating relationships with the community" 4.04

2) "The reputation of the University of Louisville serves as an impediment to creating relationships with the community" 2.69

0.00   5.00

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	3.36
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.44
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.10
Population Standard Deviation	1.44
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.10

1. "The reputation of the University of Louisville promotes creating relationships with the community"

1 Strongly Disagree	1	1.01%
2 Disagree	3	3.03%
3 Neutral	9	9.09%
4 Agree	49	49.49%
5 Strongly Agree	34	34.34%
0 Not Applicable	3	3.03%
Total	99	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	99
Mean	4.04
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.07
Population Standard Deviation	1.06
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.11
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.11

2. "The reputation of the University of Louisville serves as an impediment to creating relationships with the community"

1 Strongly Disagree	15	15.15%
2 Disagree	34	34.34%
3 Neutral	12	12.12%
4 Agree	18	18.18%
5 Strongly Agree	15	15.15%
0 Not Applicable	5	5.05%
Total	99	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	99
Mean	2.69
Median	2.00
Mode	2
Standard Deviation	1.45
Population Standard Deviation	1.45
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.15
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.15

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

There is no data to show.

As a result of your connection to the University of Louisville, how has your awareness of the university changed?

|		
learned more about the university programs and services	50	20.75%
know whom to call upon for information and assistance	51	21.16%
am more involved with activities on campus	11	4.56%
have an increased knowledge of university resources	40	16.60%
have more interactions with faculty and administrators	58	24.07%
members of your organization have taken or plan to take classes at the university	11	4.56%
no change in awareness	17	7.05%
developed negative impression of the university	2	0.83%
Other (specify)	1	0.41%
Respondent(s)	99	

As a result of your connection to the University of Louisville, how has your awareness of the university changed?

There is no data to show.

What is the name of your partnership project with UofL? (if your organization is involved in multiple projects with UofL please choose one as you respond to the items in this section)

Comment
Not Answered

Was this project operational prior to your partnership with UofL?

1) Was this project operational prior to your partnership with UofL? 1.73

Was this project operational prior to your partnership with UofL?

1 Yes	36	40.91%
2 No	40	45.45%
3 Not Sure	12	13.64%
Total	88	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	88
Mean	1.73
Median	2.00
Mode	2
Standard Deviation	0.69
Population Standard Deviation	0.69
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.07
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.07

## Was this project operational prior to your partnership with UofL?

There is no data to show.

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

1) Our partnership project began with clearly defined goals 4.13
2) Our partnership project achieved (is achieving) its goals 3.98
3) Our partnership project has measurable outcomes 4.00
4) Our partnership project had (is having) the intended level of impact in the community 3.76
5) We have evidence that our partnership program had (is having) impact in the community 3.51

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	3.87
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.29
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.06
Population Standard Deviation	1.29
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.06

## 1. Our partnership project began with clearly defined goals

1 Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%
2 Disagree	4	4.65%
3 Neutral	6	6.98%
4 Agree	41	47.67%
5 Strongly Agree	33	38.37%
0 Not Applicable	2	2.33%
Total	86	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	86
Mean	4.13
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.00
Population Standard Deviation	1.00
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.11
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.11

2. Our partnership project achieved (is achieving) its goals

1 Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%
2 Disagree	4	4.71%
3 Neutral	7	8.24%
4 Agree	36	42.35%
5 Strongly Agree	33	38.82%
0 Not Applicable	5	5.88%
Total	85	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	85
Mean	3.98
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.27
Population Standard Deviation	1.26
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.14
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.14

3. Our partnership project has measurable outcomes

1 Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%
2 Disagree	1	1.18%
3 Neutral	8	9.41%
4 Agree	41	48.24%
5 Strongly Agree	30	35.29%
0 Not Applicable	5	5.88%
Total	85	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	85
Mean	4.00
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.21
Population Standard Deviation	1.20
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.13
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.13

4. Our partnership project had (is having) the intended level of impact in the community

1 Strongly Disagree	1	1.18%
2 Disagree	1	1.18%
3 Neutral	13	15.29%
4 Agree	37	43.53%
5 Strongly Agree	26	30.59%
0 Not Applicable	7	8.24%
Total	85	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	85
Mean	3.76
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.38
Population Standard Deviation	1.37
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.15
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.15

5. We have evidence that our partnership program had (is having) impact in the community

1 Strongly Disagree	1	1.18%
2 Disagree	2	2.35%
3 Neutral	20	23.53%
4 Agree	32	37.65%
5 Strongly Agree	21	24.71%
0 Not Applicable	9	10.59%
Total	85	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	85
Mean	3.51
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.47
Population Standard Deviation	1.46
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.16
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.16

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

There is no data to show.

What is this partnership project's most important outcome in the community?

Comment
Not Answered

How do you define success with regard to your project impacting who you serve? (for example: people served, jobs created)

| Comment |
| --- |
| Not Answered |

How were the logistics of your project handled?

1) How were the logistics of your project handled? 3.33

How were the logistics of your project handled?

1 I made most of the arrangements	11	13.25%
2 A UofL faculty or staff member made most of the arrangements	13	15.66%
3 A student or students made most of the arrangements	9	10.84%
4 We handled the arrangements collaboratively	42	50.60%
5 Not sure	4	4.82%
6 Not applicable	4	4.82%
Total	83	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	83
Mean	3.33
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.32
Population Standard Deviation	1.31
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.14
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.14

How were the logistics of your project handled?

There is no data to show.

Is a formal assessment or evaluation being conducted for this project?

1) Is a formal assessment or evaluation being conducted for this project? 1.87

Is a formal assessment or evaluation being conducted for this project?

1 Yes	33	38.37%
2 No	31	36.05%
3 Not sure	22	25.58%
Total	86	

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	86
Mean	1.87
Median	2.00
Mode	1
Standard Deviation	0.79
Population Standard Deviation	0.79
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.09
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.09

Is a formal assessment or evaluation being conducted for this project?

There is no data to show.

Please tell us about your project's assessment or evaluation.

Comment
Not Answered

If no, would you like the University's assistance with assessment?

1) If no, would you like the University's assistance with assessment? 1.73

If no, would you like the University's assistance with assessment?

1 yes	8	26.67%
2 no	22	73.33%
Total	30	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	30
Mean	1.73
Median	2.00
Mode	2
Standard Deviation	0.45
Population Standard Deviation	0.44
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.08
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.08

# If no, would you like the University's assistance with assessment?

There is no data to show.

Please add any additional comments here.

Comment
Not Answered

How long have you been collaborating with the University of Louisville?

1 Less than one year	4	3.25%
2 1-3 Years	27	21.95%
3 More than 3 years	92	74.80%
Total	123	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	123
Mean	2.72
Median	3.00
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	0.52
Population Standard Deviation	0.52
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.05
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.05